IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 875 OF 2006

SHRI RAMANATH CUSTA ZOLMI AND 7 ORS., ... Applicants

Versus

SHRI FONDU PUNDOLIC ZOLMI AND 50 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. N. K. Sawaikar, Advocate for the Applicants.

Coram:- N. A. BRITTO, J.

Date:- 26th November, 2007

P.C.:-

Heard Mr. N. K. Sawaikar, learned Counsel on behalf of the Applicants. Learned Counsel on behalf of the Applicants states that Respondent Nos. 4, 6, 7, 22 and 26 were already deleted before the District Court and therefore are not required to be impleaded in this Second Appeal. The statement is accepted at the risk of the Applicants. The other Respondents are being represented by Mr. V. Naik.

The delay of 10 days is condoned in terms of prayer clause (a) of the application. The abatement is also set aside in terms of prayer clause (b).

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.